



COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense

AMERICA'S NEWSPAPER AGAINST COMMUNISM

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

"The Truth, the whole Truth and nothing but the Truth!"

Without fear or favor,

Conrad A. G. G. G.
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

Plain envelope, unsealed \$1.

Plain envelope, sealed \$3.

Foreign & Canada, (10 mos.) \$1.

Issue No. 381 (17th Year)

March 15, 1962

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

FIVE CENTS

Watch Out America - Katanga Could Be a Precedent!

By Merwin K. Hart

Republished from—

National Economic Council Letter No. 518

The American Government has outraged the American people—and the people of the world—by its full support of UN military operations against the law-abiding people of Katanga. American officials have vigorously encouraged the Acting Secretary General of the UN in his use of armed force in the Congo. We have supplied most of the money and the military transport planes, without which troops of the UN could not have carried on their operation against the African people. Is this stupidity? No.

Hasty efforts are now being made by our Government to cover up this pustulating sore, but we cannot permit American officials to do a "snow job" in this crisis.

Today's shocking developments in the Congo are the result of long-term policies of the American Government, followed for many years. These policies will not be abandoned. They will only be covered up. They are as desperately serious for the people of the United States as they are for the bewildered, hard-working farmers and miners of Katanga.

The Congo operation is a precedent for a long-established policy of the Planners in our Government and in the UN—the gradual disbanding of all nationalist military strength and the building up of UN armed forces. The objective—which has been openly stated again and again—is to make UN forces strong enough so that no national forces—not even American forces—can stand against them.

Where will that leave the independence of once-free nations? Just where Katanga is now. If the UN lays down a policy, and the people of any country do not like it, the UN will have the superiority in armed force which makes it possible to impose the policy against the wishes of the people of the area. If communists or pro-communists in power wish to impose their will, no resistance will be possible.

Where does that leave the United States? Just where it leaves Katanga—subject to a very real threat of future military intervention by an internationalist "police force," which can bomb hospitals and roads, seize radio stations and public buildings, and lay areas desolate in any country which questions the edicts of the UN.

Of course, such force will not be employed tomorrow or the next day. But the precedents are being built up with utmost care. Americans do not wish to believe this. But every step in the pattern is fully supported by the statements of the Planners themselves.

There is a powerful conservative uprising in the United States today. So far, it has not done anything. It has, it is true, warned the Planners to go slow. But it has not



Moise Tshombe

formulated any positive policies for which it can fight.

Here is an issue on which practically all conservatives can agree on a policy, and demand that Congress put it into effect. They should insist, first, as stated in our protest to the President, that the United States withdraw its military assistance and support of the UN in Katanga, and refuse all financial support to the UN if it does not desist.

The next essential step is to insist that the United States Government give no financial, logistical or other aid to any UN military operations anywhere. Then Congress should make it a matter of policy to give no legislative or financial support for any UN military force whatever.

Let us review what happened in Katanga, considered as a precedent.

The people of Katanga do not like the central government set-up in the Congo for two good reasons. First, the Adoula government is at best soft on communism. Known communists occupy several of the key positions in the party and governments. The communists do not need more than a few key positions in any government in order to be able to keep it pointed to the left.

Second, the people of Katanga do not want any strong central government over their province, even a good one. They have emphatically stated their preference for a Federal government (like our Constitutional government) in which the separate "states" of the nation shall be free to govern themselves with a few specific exceptions.

The American Government, in supporting the UN military operations against Katanga, thus put itself into the unbelievable position of fighting with pro-communists against the firmest anti-communists in Africa, and at the same time supporting centralization of government against the Federal principle

of self-determination. Could anything be more completely un-American?

If the people of Katanga do not want to be put under the heel of a pro-communist government, why should the United States interfere to compel them to bend to the yoke? If the people of Katanga do not want a strongly centralized government from a remote capital, why should the United States intervene to compel them to give up their liberties? It is not good enough to say this was stupidity. Which of the Planners is that stupid?

Katanga is one of the world's richest mineral-producing areas. It has highly developed mining and industry. It is one of the chief sources of employment and rising standards of living in all Africa.

We can imagine why Adoula and his communist advisers wanted to take over Katanga, and seize its wealth to divide it up with the rest of the Congo. We can understand why the Soviet Union did not wish to leave those minerals to an anti-communist leader. But why should the United States agree? Why should it condone bombing of hospitals and radio stations and firing on civilians, to compel the Katanganese to give up their higher living standards as well as their freedom? Stupidity is not the answer.

That isn't all. Every member nation in the UN was opposed to UN use of force in the Congo—except the Soviet Union and the United States. Our allies in NATO protested violently. Britain rescinded its decision to supply the UN forces with bombs. The African nations were not fooled by all the big words about UN intervention. Even Joseph Alsop, one of the most vigorous apologists for left-wing policies, said the plan to support Adoula was subject to two risks—one, the pro-communist influences around Congo Premier Adoula; the other, the possibility that "pacification" by the UN armies might leave Katanga a smoking ruin. The Africans know that. They do not want any more belligerence in Africa.

The American people have done themselves proud in their protests. From one end of the country to the other they have spoken out. "What right do we have to interfere in the internal affairs of any country? Why should Americans oppose firm anti-communists who are asking only for the rights enjoyed by our States in a Federal Union, formed to preserve as much local sovereignty as possible?"

It is significant that this uprising of Americans was not started by political leaders, but by people without position or political office. The press and radio were filled with pious double-talking explanations by officials, specious justifications for the amazing actions of our Government. But the talk did not fool anyone. The meaning of freedom under a Federal Union is still vivid in the minds of our people. They

JUDGMENT AT NUREMBERG

By Rev. Richard Ginder

Republished from — Sunday Visitor

It was three years ago that I first noticed an anti-German campaign in certain of the elements that influence American public opinion. V. S. Pritchett wrote up Germany in a most unfriendly manner for *HOLIDAY* and I remarked on it in *Our SUNDAY VISITOR*, May 17, 1959. He referred for instance to the "pig-face" of the Germans and rehearsed the business of the gas chambers at Dachau.

But since then the campaign against Germany has mounted in fury until now one would be tempted to think that the chief enemy to world peace is not the Bomb but the German people.

What is behind this? The answer is that whereas Poland used to be our first line of defense against Bolshevism, the barricade has been moved westward until it consists now, literally, of a wall across the face of Berlin. Before Russia can move another inch in the West, she must somehow neutralize West Germany, for Germany blocks her path with a formidable army and air force, and she keeps getting stronger every day.

This strategem of Russia's could perhaps be accompanied by undermining American support of Germany, by teaching us to hate German people so that we would withdraw our forces and let NATO wither on the vine, in which case Germany would be "easy pickings." Or, if the Americans could be taught to hate Germany, she might from a sense of grievance withdraw voluntarily from NATO and conclude a separate pact with Russia, as happened at Rapallo in 1922.

LESSON IN HATRED

How teach Americans to hate the Germans? As it is working out, the outrages perpetrated 20 years ago by Hitler and his henchmen are being rehearsed and restaged again and again before the eyes of the American people almost to the point of tedium. The viewer is naturally tempted by the fallacy of projecting that image on the new generation of Germans that has grown up since the war.

It is as preposterous as though, as late as 1885, a little clique of conspirators had kept presenting the atrocities of Andersonville and other Confederate prison camps before the people of the North, fighting the Civil War again and again in its most odious phases, keeping old wounds suppurating, until the people of Massachusetts and Pennsylvania were inflamed to the point of massacre against the people of Georgia and North Carolina — all because of what had happened in a previous generation.

Last week I went to see Stanley Kramer's "Judgment at Nuremberg." Mr. Kramer loves to fish in troubled waters.

Kramer's "Inherit the Wind," according to William H. Mooring, "twisted agnosticism into equations of intellectual freedom." His "On the Beach" was a subtle plea for unilateral nuclear disarmament.

A TIRESOME BORE

In a way, it may be a good thing to have Kramer working against us, because I for one find his productions such a bore I walked out of "On the Beach" after half an hour. But I drove myself to sit through all 190 minutes of "Judgment at Nuremberg" so that I could give you a report on it.

I used to rub my legs to get the circulation going again at the end of each hour. And while they ran those faded old shots from concentration camps — you know: the hollow-eyed starvelings and the gas ovens that we have seen so often on TV and in the movies — I stepped out into the lobby for a cigarette. But when I got back

I found that my companion had dozed off again. It's that kind of a picture — like an essay on social problems.

I groaned mentally when I saw Spencer Tracy wind up for his charge to the court — a preachment that lasted about eight minutes, about the length of a Sunday sermon. But Richard Widmark preached, too, and Maximilian Schell — loudly and interminably.

Kramer has a way of circling the speaker with his camera. Around and around we go. You can imagine how many rounds he manages to get in during an eight-minute spiel. It made me physically dizzy.

Actually, the whole business of Nuremberg was a mistake. Civilized peoples just don't kill off their prisoners of war, for one thing. And then it canonized the Reds, letting them sit, hands dripping blood, on the bench beside the rest of the Allies. Indeed, all through the film, one kept thinking: Now, the Nazis were all killed off 17 years ago and the present day Germans, have not only repudiated their enormities but are paying huge sums in restitution to Hitler's victims. This is ancient history — whereas, behind the Iron Curtain, it is still going on.

Every German in the picture, from the very first shot, is studiously chosen and presented in an unkind way. In fact, Kramer overdoes it to the point of absurdity. Every German without exception is stiff, or obsequious, or just a little too loud. Even Marlene Dietrich, surprisingly, consented to struggle with a script that belittles her own people and shows her unsympathetically.

Maximilian Shell, as the defense attorney, sets the theme of the picture when, pointing to the shifty-eyed Nazi rascals in the dock, he says: "These are not the ones on trial. It is the whole German nation!" — thereby wiping out all thought of the heroic resistance to Hitler recalled by such names as Cardinal Faulhaber and Count Klaus von Stauffenberg.

A court trial can be made into a fascinating picture. One thinks of "Witness for the Prosecution." But Stanley Kramer has not done it here. His "Judgment at Nuremberg" is an excruciating bore.

"As for anyone who does not know that the present revolutionary Bolshevik movement is Jewish. I can only say that he must be a man who is taken in by the suppressions of our deplorable press."

—Hilaire Belloc, Author - poet.

NEW TOP ADVISER IN U.N.



Ralph J. Bunche, identified by two former communists as a party member in sworn testimony. Member of many communist fronts. New top adviser in United Nations.

For complete information on Ralph Bunche's "Loyalty" record, order 20 page mimeographed brochure from Christian Ed. Assn. Price 35¢, 3 for \$1.00

CommUNism!

OPEN LETTER TO THE TAXPAYERS OF THE UNITED STATES

As you labor over the questionnaire contained in the "free" booklet you recently received from your government—the booklet issued by the Gestapo they have the gall to call the **Internal Revenue SERVICE**, keep the following in mind:

The same addle-brained Socialists that are now asking for more than 4 billion dollars of your hard earned money for foreign aid, which will undoubtedly be used for such worthwhile enterprises as supplying Yugoslavia with "obsolete" planes at thousands of dollars loss (of course it's not really loss—it's only **your** money) and training the pilots so they will know how to use those planes in war that would kill you and your family, in the same breath are asking that we **DIS-arm**. This has something to do with demonstrating our sincerity. **Unilateral disarmament**, they call it. The Communists, on the other hand, are completely trustworthy. They demonstrate their good intentions by taking under their loving wing 900 million slaves! ! !

Keep in mind that after millions upon millions of your tax dollars were spent to get our man into space, our ambassador to the United Nations, egg-head Adlai, thinks we should team up with the Russians and pool our KNOWLEDGE with their PROPAGANDA! Remember, while our President would lower the tariff walls that protect your job, he would give you "free" medicare when you grow old and unable to assume these personal responsibilities because socialists in our government have pre-empted the money that you could have saved for that rainy day, and piddled it away on such scatter-brained schemes as the purchase of 100 million dollars worth of United Nations bonds.

Keep in mind that while our One-Worlders liquidate nasty dictators like Chaing-Kai-Shek, Trujillo and Batista—while sponsoring such lovable characters as "Robin of Sherwood" Castro and "Farmer Boy" Mao Tse-Tung — share our atomic secrets with the Soviet Union and arm our enemies to the teeth, our State Department issues a booklet (and our President delivers speeches) disclosing plans for, of all things, turning our Army, our Navy and our Air Force over to that Pillar of Justice — the Keeper of the Peace — the **RAPIST** of Katanga—the Communist-controlled United Nations.

This tax-sponsored madness originates in the extreme left-wing, ADA-controlled administration and is labelled by our noble press as the **NEW FRONTIER**. However, we are sternly warned against the danger of such radical right-wing extremism as advocacy of Constitutional Government.

Barnum was right — there IS a sucker born every minute!

Harlan Rupp, Jr.
March 15th, 1962
Hamburg, New York

URBAN RENEWAL IS COMMUNISM IN ACTION

"Urban Renewal is NOT slum clearance, Urban Renewal is a federally financed program of city planning which requires city governments to SEIZE homes and other private property from SOME citizens and RESELL them, at below cost, to REAL ESTATE PROMOTERS and other PRIVATE CITIZENS for developments that the city planners CONSIDER desirable."

—National Defense Committee
Daughters of The American Revolution

GREAT GIVEAWAY

By H. Heath

The good ship 1776 was staunchly built to weather the ages but last year it was renamed the Great Giveaway and set forth on a new adventure to the Port of Abolition, on the New Frontier, seeking the Golden Age that has been missing for several centuries.

With a new captain but the old crew that called her the New Deal, these had skirted the Sea of Disaster and were charting her course. With decks piled mast-high with the stuff old Racial Dreams are made of,—bonds, debts and other material, she is now breasting the breakers on the Sea of Disaster in the Ocean of Debt.

Admittedly she may flounder and sink but that doesn't trouble the "great brains" who are doing the planning. We must trust our President, even to the point of going down with him if needs be. These great brains have another, much larger ship, planned and on order to replace her when she sinks, a gallant ship with her decor in red and gold, a dream ship of the ages.

As for the fate of the captain and crew, that is nothing to worry about, so long as the great brains do not go down with her. Our great explosion of populations will soon supply the necessary cannon fodder and the serfs to ride out the storms now gathering.

No doubt when the time comes, these great intellectuals will tell us there was nothing of expediency involved, that they planned it that way from the first. While the old ship is a bit top-heavy with an overburden of debt that was all expected from the first. Let us rejoice and be glad that those charting her course have such a vast store of grey matter to use in our salvation.

REDS WITHIN

From INDEPENDENT HUNGARIAN
FREEDOM FIGHTERS FEDERATION
1902 Buckeye Rd., Cleveland(20), Ohio

To John F. Kennedy

Dear Mr. President:

In the name of the Independent Hungarian Freedom Fighters' Federation, an anti-communist federation with organizations all over the world, we turn to you with our request. The substance of our request is:

DON'T GRANT CITIZENSHIP TO COMMUNISTS.

Five years ago when Hungary's freedom fight was still going strong, the exodus from Hungary had begun. The first groups of refugees, between October 23 and November 4, 1956, were not those who escaped from the communist regime, but those who were afraid of the revenge of the momentarily victorious Hungarian Nation itself. These refugees were in part members and informers of the communist secret police (AVH) and part of the Communist Party (MDP) with compromising background.

Unfortunately the countries of the West—the U.S.A. in the first place—received just these refugees with the greatest sympathy and embraced their cause in such a way as if they would have been the greatest and bravest heroes of the Hungarian war of liberty. Through special emergency quotas the gates of the U.S.A. and other countries were opened to them. They received the greatest part of the aid and support and they received the best jobs everywhere.

The terror-boys of communism disguise themselves in the bloodspotted clothes of the countless victims of communism, but did not change their convictions. It is easy to recognize them: they praise communism, slander the USA, stir up differences among the groups fighting against communism, avoid the churches and the anti-communist organizations. For all this they get the re-

ward that they will be the first citizens of the U.S.A.

We feel obligated to protest against this, and to ask you, as the mightiest competent and responsible authority:

IT WOULD BE BETTER THAT NONE OF THE HUNGARIANS WHO SETTLED IN THE USA IN 1956 and 1957 or LATER, GAIN CITIZENSHIP OF THIS COUNTRY RATHER THAN PERMIT THESE COMMUNISTS TO RECEIVE THE PROTECTION OF THE U.S.A. GOVERNMENT AS CITIZENS OF THIS COUNTRY.

Today when the free world stands in a war of life and death with communism, the U.S.A. herself can't afford the mistake of making citizens of her enemies, while the real anti-communist refugees still suffer in uncomfortable camps and are exposed to the undermining work of the enemy even on the territory of the U.S.A.

WE ASK YOU to do everything to see that none of the communists become citizens of the U.S.A. **WE ASK YOU** to hear our words in the matter of citizenship and to take our opinion, backed by 11 years of experience in Soviet slavery.

WE HUNGARIAN FREEDOM FIGHTERS SHALL CONTINUE TO FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM!

Rev. Tibor Domotor
Central President

Denes Gaal
Central Sec.
of the I.H.F.F.

Laszlo Sztojalovszky
District President

Walter Favors Halt in Influx From Hungary

Washington, Feb. 12.—Chairman Francis E. Walter (D-Pa.) of the House Immigration subcommittee said today he is considering asking the Administration to halve the flow of Hungarian refugees to the United States.

"I feel we should stop the refugee program right this minute," he told a reporter.

Walter said any recommendation for halting the program would be made to Attorney General Herbert Brownell Jr. either by him or by the Immigration subcommittee. He was the second member of the group to advocate halting the program.

Walter repeated his charge that the "vast majority" of the first 6,200 Hungarian refugees admitted to this country were Communists fleeing from freedom fight-

At 6,200 were Reds

"My feeling is that every one of the 6,200 were Communists," he said.



Francis E. Walter

Announcing another NEW TAPE



"THEY SHALL NOT GO UNPUNISHED"

By Dr. Revilo P. Oliver
Illinois University Professor

"A REPORT TO THE PEOPLE"

By Gen. Albert C. Wedemeyer
Military Expert

Two Sides — Price \$5.00

Order from—

Christian Educational Association
Union, New Jersey

SHALL AMERICANS FINANCE AMERICA'S DESTRUCTION?

Republished from—

National Economic Council Letter No. 518

It is clear that at times the Washington Administration seems more in favor of preserving the United Nations than preserving the United States.

Our Washington officials have a perfectly fantastic, though some of them probably claim idealistic, notion that the UN is the only hope of the free world.

But we think the UN, next to Soviet Russia itself which is largely coming to control the UN, is the great threat to American liberty.

The one worlders in our Government have the way prepared, through the Disarmament Law they forced through Congress last September, through the utterly foolish instant admission to the UN of some twenty African countries, some just out of savagery, to scrap both the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution, and throw in the lot of the American people with that of the peoples of other lands, however backward and bankrupt some of them may be.

They hold to the idea that America must lead the free world by the giveaway plan, which has resulted already in \$80 billions of goods and money being sent indiscriminately to other lands.

They fail utterly to see that the greatest contribution the United States can make is by example. It is by remaining solvent and remaining a nation of free people. This is no less true in the mid 20th Century than it was in the 19th when we were building the foundation on which our vast strength has developed.

By any sensible rule the UN today is insolvent—by many millions of dollars. The Congo operations is costing over \$10 million a month. When other nations, whether enemies or friends, refuse to furnish funds to the UN—funds voted by the General Assembly—the Washington Administration wants to step into the breach and fill the gap, although only recently it has borrowed money from Switzerland to support our sagging dollar.

Now the world government people—those who would sink America in a Godless one world government—have come forward with a plan for the UN to float a loan of \$200 million at an interest rate of two percent. Of this the United States is expected to take \$100 million.

Thus we would be saving a bankrupt UN and further financing the destruction of free America.

President Kennedy is going to have a bill offered in Congress for the United States to furnish this \$100 million. Already there is great opposition in Congress on both sides of the political aisle.

This scheme should be opposed by every Member of both Houses. It should be opposed by you the reader of these lines, if you are a believer in a continued free America. Write your Congressman and your Senators today urging their opposition.

**"SEARCH FOR TRUTH IS THE
NOBLEST OCCUPATION OF MAN;
ITS PUBLICATION A DUTY."**

HENRY R. LABONISSE, Foreign Aid Director, is making plans for the International Cooperation Agency to give 4.8 billion dollars of your money to more than 76 countries. It is queer that the United States, which has a debt of more than all other countries combined, continues to allow our Trotskyite bureaucrats to pile taxes on our people so we can send eggheads over the world to influence the different people to conform to our way of living.

WATCH OUT AMERICA —

—o— Continued from page 1 —o—

certainly do not intend to abandon the Federal principle when the issue is compounded by a soft-on-communism central government, seeking to coerce a firmly anti-communist people. Our people have seen what was wrong, and protested vigorously. But they have done nothing adequate to put an end to the danger.

The sudden burst of opposition moved our Government to immediate action. President Kennedy sent two representatives to the Congo to arrange a cease-fire and a meeting between Adoula and Katanga's firm anti-communist leader, Moise Tshombe. By the time this appears, the Congo question may have been "settled" by an agreement between Adoula and Tshombe, or it may have faded from the front pages by the simple device of stirring up a new crisis elsewhere. The rather sudden invasion of Goa by the Indians serves—perhaps not by accident—to divert attention from the Congo.

We must not be fooled by such tactics. By bogging him down in a few weeks of parleying with Adoula and the UN, Tshombe's strength may be gently dissolved, so that if the conferences fail, he will not be able to renew his resistance to UN might. Those who oppose the attack on Katanga must keep constant vigil to be sure Tshombe is not defeated by guile, where he could not be defeated by force.

Our chief concern, however, is the future danger. We said this action in the Congo was a dress rehearsal for a fully established policy that military forces of the member nations of the UN are to be disbanded (except for "internal security") and the UN is to have armed forces strong enough to overcome the resistance of any member nation. This is the pattern for One Military World.

How would Americans feel if the UN tried to impose on them a centralized pro-communist government to which they were opposed?

You will say, "That is fantastic. It is irresponsible. It cannot happen here." Well, let us see.

The UN Charter provides for the disarmament of the member nations. It also provides for armed forces for the UN. Most of the members have been so dubious about this that it has never been put formally into effect. But under the Planners' interpretation of the "treaty clause" in our Constitution, Senatorial approval of a treaty makes its provisions part of our Constitutional law—however repugnant to the original Constitution.

Time after time spokesmen for the United States have given support—and funds—to establish precedents for UN armies superior to those of the free nations.

The program for disarmament submitted to the UN this last fall, when President Kennedy addressed the UN Assembly, follows the same line.

Why does the UN need armed forces? No one in power proposed that the UN employ forces from the small neutral nations to guard the borders of Hungary against invading Soviet tanks. That is the significant point. If the UN has military forces stronger than those of any nation, whom will they be used against? Who decides? Why are they never used against communist aggression?

It would take much time to list all the actions taken by the United States Government, from 1945 to today, in open or indirect support of a UN armed force strong enough to coerce any nation. It would take the same careful search to prove that our Government has never proposed any limits on such a dangerous concentration of power. But we cannot wait. The UN is acting in the Congo. The United States has acted to support the UN. The precedents for such UN force against its members remain unchallenged.

No possible benefit can come to the American people from permitting UN armed might to grow until it cannot be resisted. There is no place in the world where our foreign policy needs to be supported by UN military force. There is a clear and present danger that such military forces could one day be used against rebels in the United States who, like the people of Katanga, would not tolerate a pro-communist central government, and did not want to give up the Federal form of government for any reasons, even good ones.

The Congo issue is not finished. It is not far away. It is here and now. It cannot be settled unless it is settled right.

There is a powerful conservative uprising throughout the United States, as we said above.

Here is a program for these conservatives: No American intervention in the internal affairs of any nation.

No American support of UN intervention.

No American support of armed forces for the UN in any form, large or small, direct or indirect.

This is a program which Congress can make its own. It is the duty of Congress to lay down basic national policies. Let Congress speak out and rescind every action made so far by our Government or Congress, which might in time subject us to the same armed intervention our Government is today encouraging against the people of a helpless, far-off African state.

California Patriot

To the party who printed the four page letter with red ink, March 13. We accept as genuine and appreciate this information. We welcome future contacts and know how to protect your identity. God bless and protect you.

HELP

Our American Birthright, Christian Heritage and our American Way of Life need your active DEFENSE.

USE and DISTRIBUTE
"FIGHT COMMUNISM STAMPS"



200 — \$1.00

1,000 — \$4.	10,000 — \$30.
2,000 — 7.	12,500 — 35.
5,000 — 16.	25,000 — 60.

The use of these stamps is an easy and inexpensive way to "STAND UP AND BE COUNTED." Their use injures or embarrasses no one other than "tools" of those of evil influence, communists, anti-communists, traitors, dual loyalists, fellow travelers, one-worlders, political demagogues, gullible stooges (including educators and churchmen, both male and female), "experts" (?), and professional "do-gooders" who "for gold," fear, flattery, pressure, publicity, or jobs "fall for glib talk." They sell their American and Christian Birthright to so-called "good Americans" (many of whom are conniving aliens) who, behind the scenes, are promoting Un-American and Subversive projects.

Beware of the "phony" flag-wavers, the smearers, and those who demand protection of the Constitution and our Government, but finance, plan and work for their destruction.

Write direct to—
American Birthright Committee
446 Isabel Street,
Los Angeles (65), California.

MONK IN ISRAEL SUES TO SETTLE AS A JEW

TEL AVIV, Israel, March 13 —A Carmelite monk living in Haifa sued in the High Court today for the right to settle in Israel as a Jew.

The monk, Brother Daniel, said he had been born a Jew and in converting to Roman Catholicism he had not relinquished his Jewish nationality.

He has applied several times for an immigrant's visa under the "Law of the Return," which says every Jew has the right to settle in Israel. But his applications have been rejected by the Minister of the Interior, Moshe Shapiro, who holds that the law does not apply to apostates.

Brother Daniel, through his lawyers, accordingly applied today for an order calling upon the Minister of the Interior to defend his refusal.

Brother Daniel was born Oswald Rufeisin in Poland forty-two years ago. He was reared in a Jewish home.

In World War II he obtained false documents and got a job interpreting for the Germans.

He was betrayed to the Nazis but escaped. He was sheltered by a convent, where he lived sixteen months dressed as a nun. There he became a Catholic.

After the war he said he had remained a Zionist and a Jewish nationalist. The Polish Government permitted him to go to Israel in 1959 and he entered a monastery.

People who call themselves Jews are very changeable. In the Soviet Union, they call themselves a nationality. In the U.S., in order to prevent their real number from being known, they change over to a religion, forcing the Immigration officials to list them as Germans, Russians, English, etc. By this change, the Jew could use the greater part of the quota of each country, for Jews have controlled the immigration machinery for years. Changing their names is a great feature with these Pharisees; many thousands in the U.S. have done so, making it difficult to see how they gang up to control different movements and governments. The Polish and Hungarians really have difficult names, but they don't change them.

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

One year's subscription, \$1; Three years for \$2.50. First Class (Sealed) — \$3.00 per year. Foreign, 10 months, \$1.00

In BULK, same issue or assorted

25 Copies — \$1.00

100 Copies — 3.00

500 Copies — 10.00

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by Christian Educational Assn., 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J.

*Trade Mark Registered U.S. Patent Office

READ AND PASS ON